

Modified Portsmouth Scorecard - WM SHA

Development: 15 Radio Frequency Ablation					
Factor	Scale			Score	out of
	Low	Mid scale	Top points		
Strength of evidence This is more difficult for services (and one of the weaknesses) but individuals will need to make a judgment on 'additional surgeon' for example – should probably get a reasonably high score	Under 3 points if still experimental, case series or opinion	20 points if single RCT with proxy outcomes and short time scales	40 points if multiple good RCTs with good medium term outcomes		40
Magnitude of benefit Cure Quality of life improvement	Under 5 points if mild benefit (about 10% compared with cure or huge improvement in QOL)	20 points if about 50%	40 points for significant gain (e.g. confident that there will be 50% patients cured). Portsmouth currently use 75% of cure score for QOL life gains so top QOL gain will be 30 points and top Cure gain will be 40 points)		40
Number of will benefit not the number who will be treated. For a service the number of those treated and benefit might be the same but for a drug treatment for example the number who will benefit is often 25% of those treated.	10	1000	10,000		40
Total cost of the development	£500,000	£100,000	£1000		40
Patient acceptability	Low	Medium	High		40
National requirement / NSF	None = Zero	Two targets	Four targets or must do		40
Addressing health inequal. or health inequity	None = Zero	Moderate	Major		20
Wider benefits to Society	None = Zero	Moderate	Major		20
Only treatment or alternative	Other options available and similar outcomes	Other options but lesser outcomes	No other alternative		20
Strength of local feeling					10
TOTAL SCORE					